

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/593,827	593,827 06/13/2000		Sherry X. Guo	LIFE-008	7505		
75	590	03/08/2002					
Bret Field Bozicevic Field & Francis LLP				EXAMINER			
200 Middlefield Road Suite 200				CHAUDHRY, MAHREEN F			
Menlo Park, CA	94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1623	1		
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/2002	7		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/593,82	7	7,00	GUO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner			Art Unit					
		Mahreen C	Chaud	hry	1623	,				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	c vei	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
	Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM									
TI - - - -	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever oly within the statut I will apply and will te, cause the applic	nt, howe tory min expire cation to	ever, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{27}$	December 2	<u>001</u> .							
2a)	☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ TI	his action is r	non-fi	nal.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow					e merits is				
Dispo	closed in accordance with the practice under psition of Claims	г Ех рапе Qu	iayie,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 U.G. 213.					
4)	\boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quire	ment.						
	cation Papers									
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine									
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce									
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on					\ P				
11)					ived by the Examine	; 1.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
,	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority und	ler 35	SUSC & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p. 10		0.0.0.3(, (4) 5. (.).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been	rece	ived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority un	der 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).				
15)	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prediction ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 									
Attach	ment(s)									
2) 🔲 (Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		5) 🔲	-	r (PTO-413) Paper No(e Patent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 09/593,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

1. Acknowledgement is made of the amendment filed December 27, 2001. Claims 1, 11, 14, 19, 20 and 24 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,972,294 issued to Smith et al. Smith et al. disclose a reagent test strip for use in the measurement of blood glucose. Smith et al. disclose that the reagent test strip comprises a polysulfone membrane, 10-(carboxymethylaminocarbonyl)-3,7-bis(dimethylamino) phenothiazine, glucose oxidase and horseradish peroxidase (Column 11, Lines 50+). Smith et al. further disclose that the test strip may be in an absorbance reading apparatus and that the timing of the measurement occurs automatically (Column 5, Lines 7-22; Column 3, Lines 58+). Smith et al. disclose that the change in reflectance is correlated with the glucose concentration in the sample (Column 7, Lines 17-19). Smith et al. exemplify polysulfones and nylons as appropriate porous matrix materials (Column 6, Lines 29-42).

Application/Control Number: 09/593,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. The applicability of Smith et al. to the instant invention has been discussed above. Smith et al. do not expressly disclose a kit comprising a reagent test strip, a means for obtaining a blood sample and an analyte standard. However, Smith et al. do teach that a sample of whole blood is placed on the surface of the test strip (Column 2, Lines 38-40). Although Smith et al. do not specifically disclose the means by which such a blood sample is obtained, such means would certainly have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Furthermore, although Smith et al. do not specifically disclose a glucose standard, the use of such standards for comparison with test samples is conventional in the art and therefore, inclusion of a glucose standard, a means for obtaining a blood sample and a reagent test strip in a single package would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the instant claims are distinguished from the teaching of Smith et al. in that the amended claims specifically recite that the test strip is storage stable for at least six months at

Application/Control Number: 09/593,827

Art Unit: 1623

temperatures ranging form -80°C to 60°C under humidity ranging from at least 0 to 20%.

Applicant further argues that since Smith et al. does not disclose the storage stability of the test strip, Smith et al. does not provide any suggestion or motivation for a kit comprising a test strip, a means for obtaining a blood sample and an analyte standard.

It is the examiner's position that since Smith et al. disclose a test strip having the same components as those recited in the instant claims, the disclosed test strip would necessarily have the same properties including storage stability. The instant claims recite a composition comprising a urea derivative dye on a positively charged porous matrix and dependent claims directed to the positively charged porous matrix as nylon and the dye as 10-(carboxymethylaminocarbonyl)-3,7-bis(dimethylamino)phenothiazine.

Smith et al. specifically disclose a test strip in which the dye may be 10-(carboxymethylaminocarbonyl)-3,7-bis(dimethylamino) phenothiazine and specifically exemplify polysulfones and nylons as appropriate porous matrix materials. Since the test strip disclosed by Smith et al. and the composition recited in the instant claims have the same components, the properties recited in the claims, regarding storage stability of the composition, are necessarily present since a chemical composition and its properties are inseparable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/593,827

Art Unit: 1623

date of this final action.

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahreen Chaudhry whose telephone number is (703) 605-1200. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Geist, can be reached on (703) 308-1701. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application is proceeding or assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

mc

March 4, 2002

RALPH GITOMER

Rachouses

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200